

Motion denied at this time.  
s/John R. Adams  
U.S. District Judge  
March 20, 2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

FILED

FEB 20 2020

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
AKRON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Case No. 5:19CR00164-1

RONALD EVANS

MOTION TO TERMINATE SUPERVISED RELEASE  
AFTER COMPLETION OF ONE-YEAR OF SUPERVISION  
PURSUANT TO TITLE 18 U.S.C. S 3583(e)(1)

*NOW COMES*, Ronald Evans., appearing *Pro se*, and files this “Motion to Terminate Supervised Release”, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1).

INTRODUCTION

The District Court enjoys “broad discretion” when after taking into account a variety of considerations, including the nature of the offense and the history of the offender, as well as any implications for “public safety and deterrence,” it discharges a defendant’s supervised release. See United States v. Jeanes, 150 F. 3d 483, 484 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998). “These [factors] are largely the same considerations the court must assess when imposing the original sentence.” [I]d.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1) states that: “ The court may, after considering the factors set forth in section (a)(1), (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(C), (a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(6), terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the person released at any time after the expiration of one year of supervised release...if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the person released and the interest of justice.”